

Addendum No. 1

Date: **June 20, 2024**

Re: **e-Bidding and Contract Management Software**

FPB No.: **324-133-6-27**

The following information becomes part and parcel of **Fixed Price Bid (FPB) #324-133-6-27** effective this date. Firms must acknowledge receipt of this addendum in their solicitation response.

The listed items add to, modify, or otherwise alter the specifications and become part of the solicitation documents. Where a portion of the original specifications are added to, modified, or also altered, the portion not so affected shall remain. Firms may have the right to protest this addendum.

Revised Proposal Due Date

The New, Revised Proposal Due Date is July 10, 2024 at 10:00 A.M. EDT.

Inquiries/ District Responses

Before the solicitation inquiry deadline, the District received inquiries regarding this solicitation. The District's response to each question follows in **bold** font.

1.) To ensure we provide the most comprehensive proposal, will the District consider extending the proposal deadline to Thursday, July 11?

Yes, see above.

2.) On Attachment A, you are requiring 1000 government customers. I wanted to ask as Pantheon doesn't have this client list at this time and wanted to see if we could still bid on this RFP or would it automatically be rejected?

Clarification: This is not going to be a requirement in order for a bid to be responsive and considered for award.

3.) Are you expecting a narrative response to the Scope of Work section where you outline the list of desired functionalities on Page 8, or is filling out section A fulfilling this purpose?

Proposers may submit both to fill the requirement, or either of them to fulfill the requirement.

4.) How many team members at the District will actively create, publish or administer formal solicitations? Please include a detailed list of user counts and desired user roles.

Not applicable to provide a proposal.

5.) We would also like to ask for an extension for our response submission if at all possible – this would be greatly appreciated and allow us to compile the most impactful proposal.

See above.

6.) Does Greenville County Schools currently have an existing process for e-Bidding and Contract Lifecycle Management? If so, can you share how you currently manage e-Bidding and CLM?

The District has not utilized electronic bidding processes and software.

7.) Does the system need to have the ability to integrate with any software Greenville County Schools use? What are some of the systems that the software needs to integrate with?

No integration is required at this time, however, may be requested after a new ERP software is implemented.

8.) Does Greenville County Schools require any migration of data into the new system?

The District requests 3 years of current contract paper files to be integrated into the system. There will be a small amount of the contract files digitally to be migrated as well.

9.) “Must include collaboration capabilities” - what sort of collaboration capabilities does the system need to have?

Collaboration with other District non-procurement stakeholders and external vendors that register.

10.) How many users from Greenville County Schools will be using the system?

Not applicable to provide a response.

11.) It states in the RFP document that multiple firms will be selected to meet the requirements outlined in the Solicitation. If one firm has the capability to meet all of Greenville County Public Schools’ requirements, will one firm be awarded?

This is boiler plate language in case there is a need to have multiple providers. The Procurement Department hopes to award one proposer.

12.) What is Greenville County Public Schools’ definition of “Government Customers”?

The local and national vendor community.

End of Section

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged and in force. Thank you for your interest in the District.

Tonya A. Stroud

Tonya A. Stroud
Senior Buyer